# VARIATIONAL APPROACH BY MEANS OF ADJOINT SYSTEMS TO STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS—II

# STRUCTURE SHAPE VARIATION

# K. OEMS Lódź Technical University, Lódź, Poland

and

#### Z. MR6z Institute of Fundamental Technological Research. Warsaw, Poland

(Received 9 December 1982; in revised form 22 April 1983)

Abstract-For a linear elastic structure, the first variation of an arbitrary stress, strain and displacement functionals corresponding to variation of shape of external boundaries or interfaces is derived by using the solutions for primary and adjoint systems. The application to optimal design is next presented and the relevant optimality conditions are derived from general expressions. The path-independent integrals used in fracture mechanics are rederived as a particular case of general expressions.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The present paper constitutes the continuation of previous work (Part I,  $[1]$ ) on variational approach to sensitivity analysis with respect to design functions varying within a specified domain.The first variations of any stress, strain or displacement functionals were explicitly expressed in terms of variations of design functions. Now, a more difficult problem will be considered when external boundaries of the structure or interfaces are allowed to vary. The respective variations of the considered functionals will be expressed in terms of the variation of a transformation field specifying the shape modification. **In** particular, the variation of the potential and complementary energies will be considered. When the transformation field corresponds to translation or rotation of the boundary, the potential energy variation is identical to that derived previously by Eshelby[8], Knowles and Sternberg[9), Budiansky and Rice[lO] and Bui[II]. The application of the derived expressions to optimal design problems will next be considered. **In** Section 2, the virtual displacement and stress equations will be derived, whereas in Section 3 the variation of the potential and complementary energies will be considered. In Section **4,** the variation of an arbitrary stress, strain and displacement functionals will be discussed and in Section *5* the stationarity conditions for some optimal shape design problems will be derived. However, the significance of the obtained results is much broader as they can be applied in fracture mechanics or in studying growth of biological structures or metallurgical transformations. Some simple illustrative examples are presented in Section 6. The results previously obtained in [2-5) for optimal shape design are incorporated in a much broader context. A variational approach to optimal shape design was also discussed in [6,7] and in books [13, 14).

#### 2. VIRTUAL DISPLACEMENT AND STRESS EQUATIONS FOR STRUCTURES WITH VARYING LOADED. FREE. SUPPORTED AND INTERNAL BOUNDARIES

Consider now an elastic body  $B$  occupying the domain  $V$  with the boundary  $S$ . The surface tractions  $T^0 = \sigma \cdot n$  are specified on  $S_T$ , displacements  $u = u^0$  on  $S_x$ . Under applied loads, the body passes from its initial configuration  $C$  to a deformed configuration  $C<sub>d</sub>$ specified by the displacement field u,  $x^d = x + u$ . Besides a *deformation process*  $C \rightarrow C_d$ consider a *transformation process*  $C \rightarrow C_i$ ,  $x' = x + \varphi$  with the imposed transformation field  $\varphi(x)$  specified within V, Fig. 1. Obviously, this transformation field modifies shape of external boundaries or internal interfaces between different materials. The major question  $SS$  Vol. 20, No. 6- $A$  527



Fig. 1. (a) Transformation and deformation process of the body, (b) Primary structure of varying shape, (c) Adjoint structure for stress functional.

can now be posed as how stress, strain, displacement or some global functionals are modified due to transformation of a structure. In analysing this problem, an important constraint is imposed on the transformation field namely, the variation of a particular boundary portion, say  $S_T$  or  $S_{\omega}$ , is assumed as not affecting the remaining boundary portions. Thus, the variation of each boundary part or interface can be treated separately. This restriction limits the class of shape variations since in actuality the shape modification may occur with simultaneous variation of all portions of the boundary with interaction effects occuring on the lines separating these portions. Such *coupled boundary variation* will be treated in a subsequent paper and here only *non-coupled variations* of particular portions will be considered. It is further assumed that  $\varphi(x)$  is a continuous and differentiable field.

The analysis will be confined to small displacement and strain theory. Assume stress  $\sigma(x)$ , strain  $\epsilon(x)$  and displacement  $u(x)$  within the body (referred to a Cartesian reference frame) to satisfy equilibrium, compatibility and boundary conditions. Let the reference configuration correspond to a given transformation field  $\varphi(x)$ . Consider next the infinitesimal transformation  $\delta\varphi(x)$  of the structure and the associated variations  $\delta\varphi(x)$ ,  $\delta \epsilon(x)$  and  $\delta u(x)$ . In this Section the modified forms of virtual displacement and stress equations will be derived and next applied in derivation of the functional variation.

#### *2.1 Virtual displacement equation*

Consider a simultaneous variation of the displacement and transformation fields. If  $x^*$ denotes the position of a point P, initially placed at x, after infinitesimal variation of  $\varphi$ , we can write, Fig. 2(a),

$$
u_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = u_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta u_i(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (1)
$$

$$
P \rightarrow P^* \colon x_i^* = x_i + \delta \varphi_i, \tag{2}
$$

where  $\delta\varphi$  is a differentiable field. From (1) and (2), it follows that

$$
\delta u_i = u_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) - u_i(\mathbf{x}) = \delta \bar{u}_i + u_{i\kappa} \delta \varphi_k,\tag{3}
$$

where comma preceding an index denotes partial differentiation. Here  $\delta \tilde{u}_i = u_i^*(x) - u_i(x)$ denotes the displacement variation for a fixed configuration of the body. Assume first that the boundary portion  $S_u$  is not altered and then we have  $\delta u_i = \delta \bar{u}_i = 0$  on  $S_u$ .



Fig. 2. Variation of displacement (a) and stress (b) fields due to boundary shape variation.

The variation of strain is expressed similarly as follows

$$
\delta \epsilon_{ij} = \delta \bar{\epsilon}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij,k} \delta \varphi_k, \quad \epsilon_{ij}^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = \epsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \epsilon_{ij}
$$
 (4)

Consider now the *static continuation* of the stress and body force fields beyond the domain  $V$ , Fig. 2(b), namely

$$
\sigma_{ij}^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma_{ij,k}\delta\varphi_k(\mathbf{x}),
$$
  

$$
f_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = f_i(\mathbf{x}) + f_{ijk}\delta\varphi_k(\mathbf{x}).
$$
 (5)

Such stress field satisfies the equilibrium conditions beyond the boundary S, thus

$$
\sigma_{\frac{25}{10}} + f_i^* = \sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ij,k} \delta \varphi_k + f_i + f_{ik} \delta \varphi_k = 0. \tag{6}
$$

The loaded boundary  $S_T$  is transformed into  $S_T^*$  and

$$
T_i^{\ast}(\mathbf{x}^{\ast}) = \sigma_{ij}^{\ast}(\mathbf{x}^{\ast})n_j^{\ast},\tag{7}
$$

where  $\mathbf{n}^*$  is the unit normal vector to  $S^*$ . For the transformed configuration  $V^*$ , there is

$$
\int \sigma_{ij}^* \epsilon_{ij}^* dV^* = \int T_i^* u_i^0 dS_u + \int T_i^* u_i^* dS_i^* + \int f_i^* u_i^* dV^*.
$$
 (8)

Let us now transform the integration within  $V^*$  and over  $S^*$  to integration within *V* and over  $S_T$ . Since the following transformation rules occur, see Appendix A,

$$
dV^* = (1 + \delta \varphi_{kik}) dV,
$$
  
\n
$$
n_j^* dS^* = (n_j + n_j \delta \varphi_{kik} - n_k \delta \varphi_{kij}) dS,
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta n_j = n_j^* - n_j = n_j n_k n_j \delta \varphi_{kkl} - n_k \delta \varphi_{kij},
$$
\n(9)

$$
\delta(dS) = (\delta \varphi_{k+k} - n_i \delta \varphi_{i,n}) dS,
$$
\n(9)

where n denotes the unit normal vector to  $S_T$ , eqn (8) can be presented in the form

$$
\int (\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ijik}\delta\varphi_k)(\epsilon_{ij} + \delta\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijik}\delta\varphi_k)(1 + \delta\varphi_{kik}) dV =
$$
\n
$$
= \int T_i \mu_i^0 dS_u + \int (\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ijik}\delta\varphi_k)(u_i + \delta\bar{u}_i + u_{ik}\delta\varphi_k)
$$
\n
$$
\times (n_j + n_j\delta\varphi_{kik} - n_k\delta\varphi_{kij}) dS_T + \int (f_i + f_{ik}\delta\varphi_k)(u_i + \delta\bar{u}_i + u_{ik}\delta\varphi_k) (1 + \delta\varphi_{kik}) dV.
$$
\n(10)

Since for the untransfonned configuration, there is

$$
\int \sigma_{ij}\epsilon_{ij} dV = \int T_i u_i^0 dS_u + \int T_i^0 u_i dS_T + \int f_i u_i dV,
$$
\n(11)

after subtracting (11) from (10), one obtains the virtual displacement equation

$$
\int \sigma_{ij}\delta\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} dV = \int T_i^0 \delta\bar{u}_i dS_T + \int f_i \delta\bar{u}_i dV + \int [(\sigma_{ik}\delta\varphi_j - \sigma_{ij}\delta\varphi_k)u_i], \mu_k dS_T.
$$
 (12)

Applying the Stoke's theorem to the last integral of (12), it can be reduced to the curvilinear integral along the curve bounding the surface portion  $S_T$  on S, thus

$$
\int \sigma_{ij}\delta\tilde{\epsilon}_{ij} dV = \int T_i^0 \delta\tilde{u}_i dS_T + \int f_i \delta\tilde{u}_i dV - \oint e_{jkl}\sigma_{ij} u_i t_k^r \delta\varphi_i^r d\Gamma,
$$
\n(13)

where  $t_k^r$  is the unit vector tangential to  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta \varphi_l^r$  is the transformation variation on  $\Gamma$  and  $e_{ikl}$  is the permutation symbol. However, when  $\delta \varphi_l^r = 0$  on *Γ*, the last term of (13) vanishes and there is

$$
\int \sigma \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon} \, dV = \int T^0 \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} \, dS_T + \int f \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} \, dV, \tag{14}
$$

where the dot between two tensors or vectors denotes summation with respect to their indices.

This fonn of the virtual displacement equation will be used in subsequent analysis.

#### *2.2 Virtual stress equation*

Consider now the simultaneous variation of the stress, body force and transformation fields. Simultaneously as previously, we can write

$$
\sigma_{ij}^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma_{ij+k}(\mathbf{x}) \delta \varphi_k,
$$
  

$$
f_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = f_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta f_i(\mathbf{x}) = f_i(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \bar{f}_i(\mathbf{x}) + f_{ijk}(\mathbf{x}) \delta \varphi_k,
$$
 (15)

where  $\delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}^*(x) - \sigma_{ij}(x)$  and  $\delta \bar{f}_i = f_i^*(x) - f_i(x)$  are the stress and body force variations for the fixed configuration of the body. Since the stress  $\sigma_{ij}^*$  is statically admissible, thus

$$
\sigma_{ij}^+ + f_i^* = \sigma_{ij} + \delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} + \sigma_{ij,k} \delta \varphi_k + f_i + \delta \bar{f}_i + f_{i,k} \delta \varphi_k = 0, \qquad (16)
$$

and

$$
\delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} + \delta \bar{f}_i = 0 \quad \text{within } V. \tag{17}
$$

Continuing analytically the displacement and strain fields from *V* to *V\*,* we can write

$$
u_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = u_i(\mathbf{x}) + u_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
\epsilon_{ij}^*(\mathbf{x}^*) = \epsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon_{ijk}(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi_k.
$$
\n(18)

Substituting  $(15)$ - $(18)$  into  $(8)$ , retransforming to the initial domain V and subtracting  $(11)$ , the virtual stress equation takes the form

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} dV = \int \delta J_i u_i dV + \int \delta T_i u_i^0 dS_u + \int \delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} u_i \sigma_j dS_r - \oint e_{jk} \sigma_{ij} u_i \mu_k^r \delta \varphi_i^r d\Gamma.
$$
 (19)

Let us note that for  $\delta \varphi_l^r = 0$  on *r*, the last term of (19) vanishes.

Consider now the variation of surface tractions on the boundary portion  $S_T$ . Denoting the total variation of these tractions by  $\delta T^0$ , we can write

$$
\delta T_i^0 = T_i^*(\mathbf{x}^*) - T_i^0(\mathbf{x}) = \delta \sigma_{ij} \delta n_j + \sigma_{ij} \delta n_j, \tag{20}
$$

and in view of (15) and the third equality of (9), we obtain from (20)

$$
\delta \tilde{\sigma}_{ij} n_j = \delta T_i^0 - T_i^0 n_k n_i \delta \varphi_{k\gamma} - \sigma_{ij\gamma k} n_j \delta \varphi_k + \sigma_{ij} n_k \delta \varphi_{k\gamma}.
$$
 (21)

Using now (21) in (19), the virtual stress equations can be presented as follows

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma}_{i} \epsilon_{ij} dV = \int \delta \bar{f}_{i} \mu_{i} dV + \int \delta T_{i} \mu_{i}^{0} dS_{u} + \int [\sigma_{i} \mu_{i} (\delta_{jl} - \eta_{i} n_{j}) n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k+l} - \sigma_{i} n_{k} \mu_{i} n_{j} \delta \varphi_{k}] dS_{T} + \int \delta T_{i}^{0} u_{i} dS_{T},
$$
\n(22)

where  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker's symbol.

Let now the surface  $S_T$  be parametrized by an orthogonal curvilinear system  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ coinciding with the principal curvature lines on  $S_T$  and let  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$  denote the unit vectors tangential to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The transformation components in the coordinate system  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , *n* are now expressed as follows

$$
\delta \varphi_{a} = a_{k} \delta \varphi_{k}, \quad \delta \varphi_{b} = b_{k} \delta \varphi_{k}, \quad \delta \varphi_{n} = n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k}, \tag{23}
$$

and since for any continuous and differentiable function  $f(x)$  on  $S<sub>r</sub>$  there is

$$
f_{ik} = \frac{1}{A} f_{ia} a_k + \frac{1}{B} f_{ib} b_k + f_{in} n_k,
$$
 (24)

where  $A^2$  and  $B^2$  are the coefficients of the first quadratic form on  $S_T$ , eqn (22) can be presented in the form

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma}_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} dV = \int \delta T_i u_i^0 dS_u + \int \delta T_i^0 u_i dS_T + \int \delta \bar{f}_i u_i dV + \int f_i u_i u_k \delta \varphi_k dS_T
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int \{ [(T_i^0 u_i)_m - 2T_i^0 u_i H - \sigma_{ij} \epsilon_{ij}] n_k - T_i^0 u_i u_i \} \delta \varphi_k dS_T
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int [(T_i^0 u_i B a_k \delta \varphi_k)_m + (T_i^0 u_i A b_k \delta \varphi_k)_n] \frac{1}{AB} dS_T,
$$
 (25)

where H denotes the mean surface curvature on  $S<sub>D</sub>$  satisfying the equality

$$
2Hn_k = \frac{1}{AB} [(Ba_k)_{\nu} + (Ab_k)_{\nu}].
$$
 (26)

Since the variations  $\delta \varphi_k$  vanish on the curve *Γ* bounding the surface portion  $S_r$  undergoing transformation, then the last integral of (25) vanishes and the virtual stress equation is alternatively expressed as follows

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon \, dV = \int \delta \, \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 \, dS_u + \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS_T + \int \delta \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dV + \int \{ [(\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u})_{n} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{nk}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T.
$$
 (27)

Let us note that the eqns (22) and (27) are valid for both conservative and non-conservative loading.

In particular, when  $\delta \varphi_k = 0$  on  $S_T$  and the boundary variation occurs only on the free boundary portion  $S_0$  where  $T^0 = 0$ , eqn (27) becomes

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon \ dV = \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 dS_u + \int \delta \bar{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \ dV - \int (\sigma \cdot \epsilon - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \delta \varphi_n dS_0.
$$
 (28)

Introducing a local Cartesian system  $y_k$  on  $S_0$  with the axis  $y_3$  normal to  $S_0$  and the axes  $y_1, y_2$  lying in the plane tangential to  $S_0$ , eqn (28) can be presented in an alternative form

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon \, dV = \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 \, dS_u + \int \delta \bar{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dV - \int (\sigma_{k} \epsilon_{kl} - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0, \tag{29}
$$

where  $\sigma_{ki}$  and  $\epsilon_{ki}$  (k,  $l = 1, 2$ ) are "internal" stress and strain components referred to the axes lying within the tangent plane to *So'*

### *2.3 Transformation of the supported boundary* Su

Consider now the case when the transformation field modifies the boundary  $S<sub>n</sub>$  on which the displacement vector  $u = u^0$  is specified. Since now, see eqn (3),  $\delta \mathbf{u} = \delta \mathbf{\bar{u}} + \mathbf{u}_{ik}\delta \varphi_k = 0$  on  $S_{ij}$ , the virtual displacement equation takes the form

$$
\int \sigma \cdot \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \, dV = \int f \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \, dV + \int T^0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, dS_T - \int T \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,k} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_u,
$$
 (30)

provided the boundary variation vanishes on the curve  $\Gamma$  separating  $S<sub>n</sub>$  from other boundary portions. On the other hand, the virtual stress equation is identical to (22) or (27), that is

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon \ dV = \int \delta \bar{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \ dV + \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 \ dS_u + \int \{[(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u})_{in} - 2\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 H + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \sigma \cdot \epsilon] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{ik} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0\} \delta \varphi_k \ dS_u.
$$
 (31)

For the case of rigidly supported boundary, that is  $u^0 = 0$  on  $S_u$ , eqn (30) is reduced to the form

$$
\int \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dV = \int \mathbf{f} \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} dV + \int \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} dS_T - \int \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}_m \delta \varphi_n dS_u,
$$
 (32)

whereas the virtual stress equation (31) takes the form

$$
\int \delta \vec{\sigma} \cdot \epsilon \, dV = \int \delta \vec{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dV. \tag{33}
$$

We have thus discussed consecutively the variation of each external boundary portion, assuming that there is *no interaction* between variations of these portions. As mentioned previously, the case of coupled shape variations will be treated separately.

### *2.4 Transformations of the interface* Sc

Consider now a two-phase body composed of two materials occupying subdomains  $V_1$ and  $V_2$  and separated by the interface  $S_c$ , that is  $V=V_1\cup V_2$ , Fig. 1(b). Assume the transformation field to modify only S<sub>c</sub>, whereas the external boundary remains unchanged, thus  $\delta \varphi = 0$  on *S*.

Since the stiffness moduli vary discontinuously on  $S_c$ , the displacements  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^c$  and the surface tractions  $T^c = \sigma^c \cdot n^c$  are continuous, but their gradients and stress components exhibit discontinuities. Denoting by  $\llbracket \quad \rrbracket$  the discontinuity of the enclosed quantity on  $S_c$  calculated as a difference of respective values in the domains  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}^c \end{bmatrix} = 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n}^c,
$$
  

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}^c_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}^c_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix} n_{\kappa}^c, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^c_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^c_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix} n_{\kappa}^c.
$$
 (34)

Let  $f_1, \sigma_1, \epsilon_1, u_1$  and  $f_2, \sigma_2, \epsilon_2, u_2$  be body forces, stress, strain and displacement fields within  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . The virtual displacement equation for the field  $\delta \bar{u}$  now takes the form

$$
\int \sigma_i \cdot \delta \bar{c}_1 dV_1 + \int \sigma_2 \cdot \delta \bar{c}_2 dV_2 = \int f_i \cdot \delta \bar{u}_i dV_1 + \int f_2 \cdot \delta \bar{u}_2 dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int T^0 \cdot \delta \bar{u} dS_T + \int T^c \cdot [\delta \bar{u}^c] dS_c. \tag{35}
$$

Since  $\delta \mathbf{u}^{\epsilon}$  is continuous on  $S_c$ , we have

$$
\llbracket \delta \mathbf{u}^c \rrbracket = \llbracket \delta \mathbf{u}^c \rrbracket + \llbracket \mathbf{u}^c_{n} \rrbracket \delta \varphi_n = 0. \tag{36}
$$

Substituting (36) into (35). we obtain

$$
\int \sigma_1 \cdot \delta \vec{\epsilon}_1 dV_1 + \int \sigma_2 \cdot \delta \vec{\epsilon}_2 dV_2 = \int f_1 \cdot \delta \vec{u}_1 dV_1 + \int f_2 \cdot \delta \vec{u}_2 dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int T^0 \cdot \delta \vec{u} dS_T - \int T^c \cdot [\![\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{m}}^c]\!] \delta \varphi_n dS_c, \tag{37}
$$

provided  $\delta \varphi^r = 0$  on the curve  $\Gamma$  lying on the boundary surface S. The derivation of virtual stress equation follows similar lines. In fact, we may write

$$
\int \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot \epsilon_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot \epsilon_2 dV_2 = \int \delta \bar{f}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \bar{f}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 dS_u + \int \mathbf{u}^c \cdot [\delta \bar{\sigma}] \cdot \mathbf{n}^c dS_c, \tag{38}
$$

and since  $\delta T$  is continuous on  $S_c$ , thus

$$
\llbracket \delta \mathbf{T} \rrbracket = \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rrbracket \cdot \delta \mathbf{n}^c + \llbracket \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}^c = \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rrbracket \cdot \delta \mathbf{n}^c + \llbracket \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}^c + \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\star \star} \rrbracket \delta \varphi_{\star} \cdot \mathbf{n}^c = 0,
$$
 (39)

and in view of (9), there is

$$
\llbracket \delta \tilde{\sigma}_{ij} \rrbracket n_j^{\epsilon} = \llbracket \sigma_{ij} \rrbracket n_k^{\epsilon} \delta \varphi_{kij} - \llbracket \sigma_{ijk} \rrbracket n_j^{\epsilon} \delta \varphi_k. \tag{40}
$$

Substituting (40) into (38), it follows that virtual stress equation takes the form

$$
\int \delta \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \epsilon_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \epsilon_2 dV_2 = \int \delta \vec{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \vec{I}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 dS_u + \int (\llbracket \sigma_{ij} \rrbracket u_i^c n_i^c \delta \varphi_{kij} - \llbracket \sigma_{ijk} \rrbracket u_i^c n_j^c \delta \varphi_k) dS_c, \tag{41}
$$

or alternatively by applying the Stoke's theorem, it is obtained for  $\delta \varphi^r = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ 

$$
\int \delta \tilde{\sigma}_1 \cdot \epsilon_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \tilde{\sigma}_2 \cdot \epsilon_2 dV_2 = \int \delta \mathbf{f}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 dV_1 + \int \delta \mathbf{f}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 dS_u + \int (\llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u} - \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl}^c) \delta \varphi_n dS_c, \tag{42}
$$

where  $\sigma_{kl}$  and  $\epsilon_{kl}$  denote the 'internal' stress and strain components referred to the coordinate axes lying in the plane tangential to  $S<sub>c</sub>$ . A more detailed discussion of the interface variation is presented in [4J.

We have thus discussed consecutively the virtual equations for the variation of each boundary portion. These equations will be now used in the subsequent analysis.

### 3. VARIATION OF POTENTIAL AND COMPLEMENTARY ENERGIES ASSOCIATED WITH BOUNDARY VARIATION

# *3.1 General case*

In this Section, we shall apply the virtual displacement and stress equations in order to derive the first variations of the potential and complementary energies corresponding to transformation ofthe external boundary or the interface of a structure. Such variations can next be used in deriving the optimality conditions for an optimal design with specified global elastic compliance.

Consider the potential energy

$$
\Pi_{u}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \int U(\epsilon) dV - \int \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} dV - \int \mathbf{T}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{u} dS_{T}, \qquad (43)
$$

where  $U(\epsilon)$  denotes the specific strain energy per unit volume. Our analysis in this Section will be referred to both *linear* and *non-linear* elastic materials. The first variation of  $\Pi_{\nu}$ equals

$$
\delta \Pi_u = \int \frac{\partial U}{\partial \epsilon} \cdot \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \, dV - \int \mathbf{f} \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \, dV + \int (U(\epsilon) - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_T - \delta \int \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS_T, \qquad (44)
$$

and since

$$
\delta \int \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS_T = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS_T + \int \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, dS_T + \int \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \delta (dS_T), \tag{45}
$$

in view of (9) and using the virtual displacement equation (14), we obtain

$$
\delta\Pi_u = \int \left\{ [(U - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}) n_k - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}_{nk}] \delta\varphi_k - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} (\delta_{kl} - n_k n_l) \delta\varphi_{knl} \right\} dS_T - \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} dS_T, \tag{46}
$$

or in an alternative form

$$
\delta \Pi_u = \int \{ [U - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u})_{nn} + 2\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}H]n_k + \mathbf{T}_{nk}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T - \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS_T, \quad (47)
$$

where it was assumed that  $\delta \varphi^r = 0$  on the curve  $\Gamma$  bounding the varying portion of the boundary *Sr.*

Assume first that the surface loading is conservative and does not depend on the surface configuration, but may vary with a position. Then  $\delta T^0 = T_{ik}^0 \delta \varphi_k$  and (47) becomes

$$
\delta \Pi_{u} = \int [U - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{T}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{u})_{m} + 2\mathbf{T}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{u}H] \delta \varphi_{n} dS_{T}.
$$
 (48)

As an example of a non-conservative loading, consider the pressure loading

$$
\mathbf{T}^0 = p(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n} \tag{49}
$$

directed along the normal to the surface. In view of (9), there is

$$
\delta T_i^0 = \delta p(\mathbf{x}) n_i + p(\mathbf{x}) \delta n_i = p_{\star k} n_i \delta \varphi_k + p(n_i n_k \delta \varphi_{k, n} - n_k \delta \varphi_{k, i}), \tag{50}
$$

and the variation  $\delta \Pi_u$  is expressed in the form

$$
\delta \Pi_{u} = \int [U - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{u})] \delta \varphi_{n} dS_{T}.
$$
 (51)

Consider now the complementary energy

$$
\Pi_{\sigma}(\sigma, \mathbf{T}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \int W(\sigma) \, \mathrm{d}V - \int \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 \, \mathrm{d}S_{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tag{52}
$$

where  $W(\sigma)$  denotes the specific stress energy per unit material volume. The first variation of  $\Pi_{\alpha}$  equals

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int \frac{\partial W}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma} \, dV + \int W n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k} \, dS_{T} - \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{0} \, dS_{\nu}, \tag{53}
$$

and in view of the virtual stress equation (22), in which it is now assumed that there is no local variation of body forces, it follows that

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int [ (W n_k - \sigma_{ij\kappa} u \eta_j) \delta \varphi_k + \sigma_{ij} u_i (\delta_{jl} - n_i \eta_j) n_k \delta \varphi_k \rangle_{\rm d} dS_T + \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} dS_T, \qquad (54)
$$

or alternatively

$$
\delta\Pi_{\sigma} = \int \{ [W + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u})_{,\mathbf{n}} - 2\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}H + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \sigma \cdot \epsilon] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{,\mathbf{k}}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \} \delta\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} dS_T + \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} dS_T. \tag{55}
$$

In particular, for a loading independent of surface configuration, (55) provides

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int \left[ W + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u})_{,\mathbf{n}} - 2\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}H + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \sigma \cdot \epsilon \right] \delta \varphi_{,\mathbf{n}} \, \mathrm{d}S_T,\tag{56}
$$

whereas in the case of pressure loading (49), there is

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int [W + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \sigma \cdot \epsilon + \text{div}(\rho \mathbf{u})] \delta \varphi_n \, dS_T. \tag{57}
$$

When only free boundary  $S_0$  is subject to variation, and there are no body forces, the derived expressions for first variations are considerably simplified. In fact from (47) and (55) it follows that after setting  $T^0 = 0$ ,  $f = 0$  one obtains

$$
\delta \Pi_u = \int U \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_0, \quad \delta \Pi_\sigma = \int (W - \sigma \cdot \epsilon) \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_0 = - \int U \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_0. \tag{58}
$$

Thus, the potential energy of a structure increases and the complementary energy decreases by moving the free boundary in the exterior, that is by adding the material to a structure.

When, on the other hand, only supported boundary  $S<sub>u</sub>$  is modified, the first variations of  $(43)$  and  $(52)$ , in view of  $(30)$  and  $(31)$ , take the form

$$
\delta \Pi_u = \int [(U - \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}) n_k - \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{nk}] \delta \varphi_k \, dS_u,
$$
 (59)

and

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int \left[ (W + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \sigma \cdot \epsilon) n_k + \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\kappa} \right] \delta \varphi_k \, \mathrm{d} S_{\kappa}.
$$
 (60)

For the variation of the interface  $S_c$ , in view of (37) and (42), we obtain

$$
\delta \Pi_{\mathbf{u}} = \int (\llbracket U \rrbracket - \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u}^c - \mathbf{T}^c \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}}^c \rrbracket) \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_c,\tag{61}
$$

and

$$
\delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int (\llbracket W \rrbracket + \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u}^c - \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl}^c) \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_c,\tag{62}
$$

where  $\sigma_{ki}$  and  $\epsilon_{ki}$  denote the 'internal' stress and strain components within the plane tangential to  $S<sub>c</sub>$ . The relation (61) was earlier derived in a different way by Eshelby[8] for the case of translation of the interface.

# *3.2 Translation and rotation of the boundary*

Consider now the body bounded by a surface  $S_T$  on which the fixed surface tractions  $T<sup>0</sup>$  are prescribed. Assume, for simplicity, that the body forces are neglected and consider two particular cases of shape transformation, namely (i) translation and (ii) rotation of the body with external forces  $T^0$  being respectively translated and rotated.

In the case of translation of the boundary by a vector  $\delta$ a, it can be written

$$
\delta \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}) = \delta a_k = \text{const.}
$$
  
for  $\mathbf{x} \in S_T$ , (63)

and from (46) and (54) we obtain the variation of  $\Pi_{\mu}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma}$ , expressed as follows

$$
\delta \Pi_u = \int (U n_k - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}_{nk}) \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta a_k = \int (U \delta_{jk} - \sigma_{ij} u_{nk}) n_j \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta a_k,\tag{64}
$$

and

$$
\delta\Pi_{\sigma} = \int (W n_k - \sigma_{ij\kappa} u_i n_j) \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta a_k = \int (W \delta_{jk} - \sigma_{ij\kappa} u_i) n_j \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta a_k. \tag{65}
$$

On the other hand, when the body is rotated around a point *R* by the infinitesimal rotation vector  $\delta\omega$ , the variations of point positions on  $S<sub>r</sub>$  and their spatial derivatives are

$$
\delta\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = e_{jk} \chi_i \delta\omega_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta\varphi_{j\gamma\rho} = e_{jk} \delta_{ip} \delta\omega_k = e_{jk\rho} \delta\omega_k.
$$
\n(66)

Similarly, the variation of surface traction due to rotation of the traction vector takes the form

$$
\delta T_j^0 = e_{jkl} T_l^0 \delta \omega_k. \tag{67}
$$

Substituting (66) and (67) into (46) and (54), we obtain

$$
\delta\Pi_{u} = \int e_{jkl} [(Un_j - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}_{ij})x_l - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}(\delta_{jl} - n_j n_l)] dS_T \delta \omega_k
$$
  
 
$$
- \int e_{jkl} T_l^0 u_j dS_T \delta \omega_k = \int e_{jkl} (Ux_j n_j + T_j^0 u_l - T_i^0 u_{ij} x_l) dS_T \delta \omega_k.
$$
 (68)

and

$$
\delta\Pi_{\sigma} = \int e_{jkl} [(Wn_j - \sigma_{ip\eta} u_i n_p) x_l + \sigma_{ip} u_i (\delta_{pl} - n_p n_l) n_j] dS_T \delta\omega_k + \int e_{jkl} T_i^0 u_j dS_T \delta\omega_k
$$
  

$$
= \int e_{jkl} W x_i n_j - (\sigma_{jp} u_l + \sigma_{ip\eta} u_i x_l) n_p + \delta_{il} u_i n_j] dS_T \delta\omega_k.
$$
 (69)

Let us note that the conservation laws formulated by Eshelby[8], Knowles and Sternberg[9] and Bui[11] can be derived from these expressions. Namely, considering the invariance of  $\Pi_{\mu}$  under translation and rotation of the domain of a homogeneous and isotropic body, we can write

$$
\delta \varPi_{\mu} = 0. \tag{70}
$$

In view of (64), the Eshelby conservation law for a homogeneous body takes the form

$$
J_k = \int (U\delta_{jk} - \sigma_{ij}u_{ijk})n_j \, dS = 0. \tag{71}
$$

In the case of rotation (66), from (68) we obtain for an isotropic body

$$
L_k = \int e_{jkl} (Ux_j n_j + T_j^0 u_l - T_i^0 u_{ij} x_l) \, dS = 0, \tag{72}
$$

which is equivalent with that derived by Knowles and Sternberg[9]. Similarly, considering the stress energy, we arrive at the conservation law for a homogeneous body considered by  $Bui[11]$ 

$$
B_k = \int (W \delta_{jk} - \sigma_{ij}, u_i) n_j \, dS = 0. \tag{73}
$$

Let us note that  $S$  can now be identified with any closed surface within the body, in particular with the boundary surface *Sr.*

4. VARIATION OF ARBITRARY STRESS, STRAIN OR DISPLACEMENT AND TRACTION FUNCTIONALS ASSOCIATED WITH BOUNDARY VARIATION

In this Section, we shall derive the expressions for first variations of the functionals  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  defined in Part I[1], corresponding to variations of the boundaries  $S_T$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $S_u$ and *Sc'* Similarly as in Section 2, let us denote the total variations of stress, strain and displacement by  $\delta\sigma$ ,  $\delta\epsilon$  and  $\delta\mathbf{u}$ , whereas the variations for fixed configuration are respectively  $\delta\vec{\sigma}$ ,  $\delta\vec{\epsilon}$  and  $\delta\vec{\mu}$ .

4.1 General case

Similarly as in[l], consider the functional

$$
G_1 = \int \Psi(\sigma) dV + \int h(\mathbf{u}) dV + \int f(\mathbf{T}) dS_{\mathbf{u}} + \int g(\mathbf{u}) dS_T,
$$
 (74)

and its first variation associated with the variation of the loaded boundary  $S<sub>r</sub>$ 

$$
\delta G_{1} = \int \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \delta \vec{\sigma} dV + \int \Psi n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k} dS_{T} + \int \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \vec{u} dV + \int h n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k} dS_{T}
$$

$$
+ \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \cdot \delta T dS_{u} + \int \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \vec{u} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \cdot u_{k} \delta \varphi_{k} + g(\delta_{kl} - n_{k} n_{l}) \delta \varphi_{k+l} \right] dS_{T}.
$$
(75)

Following[1], consider now the adjoint structure of the same shape but satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\mathbf{T}^{u_0} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \text{ on } S_T, \quad \mathbf{u}^{u_0} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \text{ on } S_u, \quad \mathbf{f}^a = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \text{ within } V \tag{76}
$$

and with the imposed initial strain field  $\epsilon^i$  specified by

$$
\epsilon^i = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma} \text{ within } V. \tag{77}
$$

Denoting the stress within the adjoint structure by  $\sigma'$ , its total strain field  $\epsilon^{\alpha}$  can be presented as a sum

$$
\epsilon^a = \epsilon^i + \epsilon',\tag{78}
$$

and it is compatible with the displacement field  $\mathbf{u}^a$ . The stress field  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$  is related to  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'$  by Hooke's law,  $\sigma' = D \cdot \epsilon'$ , and satisfies both equilibrium and boundary conditions

$$
\operatorname{div} \sigma' + f^a = 0 \quad \text{within } V, \quad \sigma' \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{T}^{a_0} \text{ on } S_T. \tag{79}
$$

We therefore can write

$$
\int \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \delta \vec{\sigma} \, dV = \int \epsilon^a \cdot \delta \vec{\sigma} \, dV - \int \epsilon' \cdot \delta \vec{\sigma} \, dV, \tag{80}
$$

and since

$$
\int \epsilon' \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma} \, dV = \int \epsilon' \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon} \, dV = \int \sigma' \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon} \, dV = \int \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} \, dS_T + \int \mathbf{f}^a \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} \, dV, \qquad (81)
$$

in view of the virtual stress equation (22), in which it is assumed that there is no local variation of body forces, we obtain

$$
\int \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \delta \tilde{\sigma} dV = \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\alpha_0} dS_u + \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\alpha} dS_r + \int [\sigma_y u_i^{\alpha} - n \rho_i] n_k \delta \varphi_{k+l}
$$

$$
- \sigma_{ijk} u_i^{\alpha} n_j \delta \varphi_k] dS_r - \int \mathbf{T}^{\alpha_0} \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} dS_r - \int \mathbf{f}^{\alpha} \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} dV, \qquad (82)
$$

and the first variation of  $G<sub>i</sub>$  is expressed as follows

$$
\delta G_{i} = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^{0} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a} dS_{T} + \int \{ [(\Psi + h) n_{k} + (\sigma_{ij}^{\prime} u_{i k} - \sigma_{ij k} u_{i}^{a}) n_{j}] \delta \varphi_{k} + [\sigma_{ij} u_{i}^{a} (\delta_{jl} - n_{j} n_{j}) n_{k} + g (\delta_{kl} - n_{k} n_{l})] \delta \varphi_{k+l} \} dS_{T}.
$$
\n(83)

The alternative form of the first variation of  $G<sub>1</sub>$ , in view of stress equation (27), can be expressed as follows

$$
\delta G_1 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int \{ [\Psi + h + g_m + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a)_m - 2(g + \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a) H
$$

$$
- \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^a] n_k - \mathbf{T}^0_{,k} \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T. \tag{84}
$$

When only free boundary  $S_0$  is subject to variation and there are no body forces, assuming

 $g(u) = 0$  and  $h(u) = 0$ , the expression (84) becomes

$$
\delta G_1 = \int (\Psi - \sigma_k \varepsilon_k^a) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0, \tag{85}
$$

where  $\sigma_{kl}$  and  $\epsilon_{kl}^q$  denote the 'internal' stress and strain components of primary and adjoint structures within the plane tangential to  $S_0$ .

For the case of supported boundary variation, with  $S_T$  fixed, the first variation of  $G_1$ , in view of (30) and (31), takes the form

$$
\delta G_1 = \int \{ [\Psi + h + f_{,n} + (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_0})_{,n} - 2(f + \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_0}) H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a
$$

$$
+ \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_0} \Big] n_k + \mathbf{T}^a \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,k} \} \delta \varphi_k \, \mathrm{d} S_u, \tag{86}
$$

where static and kinematic fields accompanied with the adjoint structure satisfy once again the conditions (76)-(79). Consider now the functional

$$
G_2 = \int \Phi(\epsilon) dV + \int h(\mathbf{u}) dV + \int f(\mathbf{T}) dS_u + \int g(\mathbf{u}) dS_T, \tag{87}
$$

and its first variation, expressed as follows

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \epsilon} \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon} \, dV + \int \Phi n_k \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T + \int \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \bar{u} \, dV + \int h n_k \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T
$$

$$
+ \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \cdot \delta T \, dS_u + \int \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \bar{u} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \cdot u_{k} \delta \varphi_k + g(\delta_{kl} - n_k n_l) \delta \varphi_{k, l} \right] dS_T. \tag{88}
$$

Following[l], let us introduce the adjoint structure satisfying the boundary conditions (76) and with the imposed initial stress field

$$
\sigma^i = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \epsilon} \quad \text{within } V. \tag{89}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \epsilon} \cdot \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \, dV = \int \sigma^a \cdot \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \, dV - \int T^{a_0} \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \, dS_T - \int f^a \cdot \delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \, dV, \tag{90}
$$

and in view of stress equation (22), in which it is again assumed that there is no local variation of body forces, there is

$$
\int \sigma^a \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon} dV = \int \sigma^a \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma} dV = \int \epsilon^a \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma} dV = \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_0} dS_u
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a dS_T + \int [\sigma_{ij} u_i^a (\delta_{jl} - n_r n_j) n_k \delta \varphi_{k+l} - \sigma_{ijk} u_i^a n_j \delta \varphi_k] dS_T, \qquad (91)
$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $T^0$  are the stress and traction fields of the primary structure whereas  $\mathbf{u}^a$  and  $\epsilon^a$  denote the displacement and strain fields of the adjoint structure. The variation  $\delta G_2$  is now expressed in the form

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int \{ [(\Phi + h) n_k + (\sigma'_y u_{ik} - \sigma_{ijk} u_i^a) n_j] \delta \varphi_k
$$

$$
+ [\sigma_j u_i^a (\delta_{jl} - n_j n_j) n_k + g (\delta_{kl} - n_k n_l) ] \delta \varphi_{k, l} \} dS_T, \tag{92}
$$

or in an alternative form

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int \{ [\Phi + h + g_m + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a)_m - 2(g + \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a) H
$$

$$
- \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^a] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{1k}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T. \tag{93}
$$

In particular, when  $g(u) = 0$ ,  $h(u) = 0$ , there are no body forces and only free boundary  $S_0$  is subject to variation, the expression (93) becomes

$$
\delta G_2 = \int (\Phi - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0. \tag{94}
$$

For the case of supported boundary variation, with  $S_T$  fixed, the first variation of  $G_2$ , in view of (30) and (31), equals

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \{ [\phi + h + f_{n} + (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\alpha})_{n} - 2(f + \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\alpha}) H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\alpha} ] n_k
$$
  
+  $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{nk} \} \delta \varphi_k dS_u.$  (95)

Consider finally the case when only the interface  $S<sub>c</sub>$  undergoes the variation. Consider the functional

$$
G_1 = \int \Psi_1(\sigma_1) dV_1 + \int \Psi_2(\sigma_2) dV_2 + \int h_1(\mathbf{u}) dV_1 + \int h_2(\mathbf{u}) dV_2
$$

$$
+ \int f(\mathbf{T}) dS_u + \int g(\mathbf{u}) dS_T, \tag{96}
$$

where  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$ ,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are continuous and differentiable functions of stress and displacement within the domains  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  separated by the interface  $S_c$ . The variation of  $G<sub>1</sub>$  equals

$$
\delta G_1 = \int \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \sigma_1} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 \, dV_1 + \int \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial \sigma_2} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_2 \, dV_2 + \int [\![\Psi]\!] \delta \varphi_n \, dS_c + \int \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \bar{u} \, dV_1
$$

$$
+ \int \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \bar{u} \, dV_2 + \int [\![h]\!] \delta \varphi_n \, dS_c + \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{T} \, dS_u + \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial u} \cdot \delta \bar{u} \, dS_T. \tag{97}
$$

Introducing the adjoint structure, satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\mathbf{T}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \quad \text{on } S_T, \quad \mathbf{u}^{\alpha_0} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial T} \quad \text{on } S_u,
$$
 (98)

and

$$
\mathbf{f}_1^a = \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \quad \text{within } V_1, \quad \mathbf{f}_2^a = \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \quad \text{within } V_2,\tag{99}
$$

and with the initial strain fields

$$
\epsilon_1^i = \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \sigma_1} \quad \text{within } V_1, \quad \epsilon_2^i = \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial \sigma_2} \quad \text{within } V_2,\tag{100}
$$

the displacement field  $\mathbf{u}^a$  and the stress field  $\sigma'$  of this structure satisfy the conditions

$$
\llbracket \mathbf{u}^a \rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket \mathbf{T}^r \rrbracket = \llbracket \pmb{\sigma}^r \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_c. \tag{101}
$$

Following similarly as previously, we can write

$$
\int \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \sigma_1} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 dV_1 + \int \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial \sigma_2} \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_2 dV_2 = \int \epsilon_i^i \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 dV_1 + \int \epsilon_i^i \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_2 dV_2
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int \epsilon_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 dV_1 + \int \epsilon_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{\sigma}_1 dV_2 - \int \sigma_i^r \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon}_2 dV_1 - \int \sigma_i^r \cdot \delta \bar{\epsilon}_2 dV_2
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int \delta \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_0} dS_u - \int \mathbf{T}^{a_0} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} dS_r + \int (\mathbf{T} \cdot [\mathbf{u}_m] - [\sigma_{kl}] \epsilon_{kl}^a
$$
  
\n
$$
+ [\![\mathbf{f}]\!] \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \rangle \delta \varphi_n dS_c - \int \mathbf{f}_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} dV_1 - \int \mathbf{f}_i^a \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} dV_2,
$$
 (102)

where the virtual strain equation (37) and stress equation (42) were used. The variation of  $G_1$  can now be expressed as follows

$$
\delta G_{1} = \int \left( \llbracket \Psi \rrbracket + \llbracket h \rrbracket + \mathbf{T}' \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{u}_{m} \rrbracket - \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl}^{a} + \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a} \right) \delta \varphi_{n} \, \mathrm{d}S_{c},\tag{103}
$$

provided  $\delta \varphi_n = 0$  on the curve *r* of intersection of the interface  $S_c$  with the exterior boundary.

Considering alternatively the functional

$$
G_2 = \int \Phi_1(\epsilon_1) dV_1 + \int \Phi_2(\epsilon_2) dV_2 + \int h_1(\mathbf{u}) dV_1
$$

$$
+ \int h_2(\mathbf{u}) dV_2 + \int g(\mathbf{u}) dS_T + \int f(\mathbf{T}) dS_{\mathbf{u}}, \qquad (104)
$$

its variation is expressed similarly to (103), that is

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \left( [\![\boldsymbol{\Phi}]\!] + [\![\boldsymbol{h}]\!] + \mathbf{T}^r \cdot [\![\mathbf{u}_{\boldsymbol{h}}]\!] - [\![\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{kl}]\!] \epsilon_{kl}^e + [\![\mathbf{f}]\!] \cdot \mathbf{u}^e \right) \delta \varphi_n \, \mathrm{d}S_c. \tag{105}
$$

Here  $T'$  is the continuous contact traction at the interface  $S_c$  of the adjoint structure whereas  $\epsilon_{kl}^a$  are the "internal" components of the strain field  $\epsilon^a$  of this structure. The associated quantities of the primary structure are the discontinuities in displacement gradient  $\mathbf{u}_{1n}$  and in "internal" stress components  $\sigma_{kl}$ .

It can easily be shown that when  $G<sub>i</sub>$  and  $G<sub>2</sub>$  coincide with the complementary and potential energies, the derived expressions for variations of  $G<sub>1</sub>$  and  $G<sub>2</sub>$  coincide with those derived in the previous section for variations of  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma}$ .

### *4.2 Translation and rotation of the boundary*

The general expressions for variations of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  can now be particularized to the case of translation and rotation of the boundary.

When  $\delta \varphi_k = \delta a_k$  = const. that is when the translation occurs, the general expression (83) takes the form

$$
\delta G_1 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int [(\Psi + h) n_k + (\sigma'_y u_{ijk} - \sigma_{ijnk} u_i^a) n_j] \, dS_T \delta a_k, \tag{106}
$$

and similar expression for the variation of  $G<sub>2</sub>$ , namely

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, \mathrm{d}S_T + \int [(\Phi + h) n_k + (\sigma_{ij}^r u_{i+k} - \sigma_{ij+k} u_i^a) n_j] \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta a_k. \tag{107}
$$

When the variation  $\delta T^0$  associated with boundary translation vanishes, and  $G_i$  coincides with the complementary energy, then  $\Psi = W$ ,  $T^{\alpha_0} = \sigma' \cdot n = 0$ ,  $u^{\alpha} = u$ . Moreover, setting  $h = g = 0$ , from (106), we obtain

$$
\delta G_1 = \delta \Pi_{\sigma} = \int (W n_k - \sigma_{ij \star k} u_i n_j) \, \mathrm{d} S_T \delta a_k,\tag{108}
$$

that is the relation (65). When on the other hand  $G_2$  coincides with the potential energy, then  $\Phi = U$ ,  $T^{\alpha_0} = \sigma' \cdot \mathbf{n} = -T^0$ ,  $\mathbf{u}^a = 0$ , and setting  $h = f = 0$ , from (107), we obtain

$$
\delta G_2 = \delta \Pi_u = \int (U n_k - \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,k}) \, \mathrm{d} S_T \delta a_k,\tag{109}
$$

that is the relation (64).

Similarly in the case of rotation of a closed boundary  $S_T$ ,  $\delta \varphi_k = e_{kk}x_k\delta \omega_i$ , the respective expressions for  $\delta G_1$  and  $\delta G_2$  are

$$
\delta G_{\parallel} = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, \mathrm{d}S_T + \int e_{kjl} \{ [(\Psi + h) n_k + (\sigma'_{ip} u_{ik} - \sigma_{ipk} u_i^a) n_p] x_l + \sigma_{il} u_i^a n_k \} \, \mathrm{d}S_T \delta \omega_j, \quad (110)
$$

and

$$
\delta G_2 = \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int e_{kjl} \{ [(\Phi + h) n_k + (\sigma'_{ip} u_{ik} + \sigma'_{ip} u_{ik} + \sigma'_{ip} u_{ik} + \sigma'_{ip} u_{ik}^a) n_{ip} \} \, dS_T \delta \omega_j.
$$
\n(111)

When the variation  $\delta T^0$  associated with boundary rotation is defined by eqn (67), again the formula (69) is obtained when  $\Psi = W$ ,  $T^{a_0} = 0$ ,  $u^a = u$  and  $h = g = 0$ .

However, considering the expressions (106). (107) or (110). (Ill). a new class of conservation laws is generated. Consider. for example. the case of translation. Setting  $\delta T^0 = 0$ ,  $h = f = 0$ , and considering integral on any closed surface *S* within the body, from (106), we obtain for a homogeneous body (cf. Appendix B)

$$
Z_T = \int (\Psi \delta_{kj} + \sigma'_{ij} u_{ik} - \sigma_{ijk} u_i^a) n_j \, dS_T = 0.
$$
 (112)

The similar expression can be obtained from (107). These new conservation laws obviously generalize those derived in[8. 9. 11]. Their proof and application will be discussed in a separate paper.

### 5. STATIONARITY CONDITIONS IN OPTIMAL SHAPE DESIGN

In order to illustrate the applicability of derived expressions for first variations of functionals G) and G*2•* let us discuss the optimal shape design. Assume the cost of the structure proportional to the material volume, thus

$$
C = c \int dV, \quad \delta C = c \int n_k \delta \varphi_k dS,
$$
 (113)

where *c* is a constant parameter. The problem is then reduced to minimizing or maximizing

the objective functional *G* With a specified upper bound on the structure cost, thus

min. or max. of G subject to 
$$
C \leq C_0
$$
. (114)

Introducing the functional

$$
G'=G+\lambda(C-C_0),\qquad \qquad (115)
$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Langrange multiplier, the condition of stationarity of  $G'$  is expressed as follows

$$
\delta G' = \delta G + \lambda \delta C + \delta \lambda (C - C_0) = 0, \qquad (116)
$$

and

$$
\delta G = -\lambda \delta C, \quad \delta \lambda (C - C_0) = 0. \tag{117}
$$

The second equality requires either  $C = C_0$  or  $\delta \lambda = 0$ . The first condition can be expressed explicitly by using the respective expression for variation of G and (113).

In particular, when  $G = G_1$  and  $G_1$  is defined by (74), the optimality condition (116) is expressed as follows

$$
\int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \, dS_T + \int \{ [\Psi + h + g_{,n} + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a)_{,n} - 2(g + \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a) H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a
$$

$$
+ \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^a] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{,k}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \} \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T = -\lambda c \int n_k \delta \varphi_k \, dS_T, \tag{118}
$$

where the expression (84) for  $\delta G_1$  was used. When, in particular,  $G_1$  coincides with the complementary energy  $\Pi_{\sigma}$ , then  $\Psi(\sigma) = W(\sigma)$  and in view of (55), we have

$$
\int \{ [W + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u})_{,n} - 2\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}H - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{,k}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} \} \delta \varphi_k dS_T
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u} dS_T = -\lambda c \int n_k \delta \varphi_k dS_T. \tag{119}
$$

In the case of free boundary variation  $(T^0 = 0)$  in the absence of body forces and with  $h(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  within V,  $g(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  on  $S_0$ , from (85) it follows that

$$
\int (\Psi - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0 = -\lambda c \int \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0, \tag{120}
$$

and the local optimality condition is

$$
\sigma \cdot \epsilon^a - \Psi = \lambda c = \text{const. on } S_0. \tag{121}
$$

Finally, when only supported boundary  $S<sub>u</sub>$  is subject to variation, the optimality conditions for the objective functional (74), in view of (86), are expressed as follows

$$
\int {\{\Psi + h + f_{n+} + (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^d)_{n-} - 2(f + \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{d})H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{d} \} n_k + \mathbf{T}^a \cdot \mathbf{u}_{nk} \} \delta \varphi_k dS_u = -\lambda c \int n_k \delta \varphi_k dS_u.
$$
 (122)

55 Vol. 20, No. 6-8

Similarly, the optimality conditions can easily be stated for the objective functional  $G<sub>2</sub>$ expressed by (87). In fact, using (93) and (113), the optimality conditions for the loaded boundary variation are

$$
\int \{ [\phi + h + g_{,n} + (\mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a)_{,n} - 2(g + \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a) H - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^a] n_k - \mathbf{T}_{,k}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a \} \delta \varphi_k dS_T
$$

$$
+ \int \delta \mathbf{T}^0 \cdot \mathbf{u}^a dS_T = -\lambda c \int n_k \delta \varphi_k dS_T, \qquad (123)
$$

and for the variation of free boundary, in the absence of body forces and with  $h(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ within V,  $g(\mathbf{u}) = 0$  on  $S_0$ , the local optimality condition is

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^a - \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \lambda \boldsymbol{c} = \text{const. on } S_0. \tag{124}
$$

In particular, for the global compliance design, when  $G_2$  coincides with the global potential energy  $\Pi_{\mu}$  and thus  $\Phi(\epsilon) = U(\epsilon)$ , the condition (124) becomes

$$
U = -\lambda c = \text{const. on } S_0. \tag{125}
$$

The sufficient optimality conditions for that case of design were derived in[2, 3]. They require the specific strain energy to be constant on  $S_0$  and a decreasing function along the exterior normal to the boundary.

When only variation of supported boundary is considered, the optimality conditions for the objective functional  $G_2$  (87), in view of (95), become

$$
\int \{ [\Phi + h + f_{n} + (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a})_{n} - 2(f + \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_{0}})H - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{a} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a_{0}}] n_{k} + \mathbf{T}^{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{n} \} \delta \varphi_{k} dS_{u} = -\lambda c \int n_{k} \delta \varphi_{k} dS_{u}.
$$
 (126)

For the case of mean compliance design, when  $G_2$  coincides with the global potential energy  $\Pi_{\nu}$ , the local optimality condition for rigid support on  $S_{\nu}$  follows directly from (126), namely

$$
U - \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{u}_m = -\lambda c = \text{const. on } S_u.
$$
 (127)

For the variation of the interface  $S<sub>c</sub>$ , in view of (103) and (105), the optimality conditions are

$$
\llbracket \Psi \rrbracket + \llbracket h \rrbracket + \mathbf{T}' \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{u}_{n} \rrbracket - \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl}^c + \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u}^a = -\lambda (c_1 - c_2) = \text{const. on } S_c,
$$
  

$$
\delta \lambda (C - C_0) = 0,
$$
 (128)

and

$$
\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket + \llbracket h \rrbracket + \mathbf{T}' \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{u}_{n} \rrbracket - \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl}^{a} + \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u}^{a} = -\lambda (c_{1} - c_{2}) = \text{const. on } S_{c},
$$
\n
$$
\delta \lambda (C - C_{0}) = 0,
$$
\n(129)

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  denote specific costs of the portions  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , so that

$$
\delta C = (c_1 - c_2) \int n_k \delta \varphi_k \, \mathrm{d} S_c. \tag{130}
$$

For the case of mean compliance design, when  $G_1$  coincides with the complementary energy  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  and  $G_2$ —with potential energy  $\Pi_{\nu}$ , the conditions (128) and (129) become

$$
[\![\sigma_{kl}]\!] \epsilon_{kl} - [\![W]\!] - [\![f]\!] \cdot \mathbf{u} = \lambda (c_1 - c_2) = \text{const. on } S_c,
$$
 (131)

and

$$
\llbracket U \rrbracket - \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{T}^c \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{u}_m \rrbracket = -\lambda (c_1 - c_2) = \text{const. on } S_c. \tag{132}
$$

The equivalence of (131) and (132) follows from the equality

$$
\llbracket W \rrbracket + \llbracket U \rrbracket = \llbracket \sigma \cdot \epsilon \rrbracket = \llbracket \sigma_{kl} \rrbracket \epsilon_{kl} + \sigma_{\omega} \llbracket \epsilon_{\omega} \rrbracket, \tag{133}
$$

valid for  $k, l = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $n = 3$ , where k, l, n is the local coordinate system with  $k$ , *l*-axes lying in the plane tangential to  $S<sub>c</sub>$ .

The application of the optimality condition (131) in optimal design of stepped plates was presented in[4].

#### *S.* EXAMPLES

In this Section, two simple examples of application of the derived optimality conditions are presented. Further examples can be found in previous works[3-5].

#### *Example* ·1. *Prismatic bar under torsion and bending*

Consider a prismatic bar of elliptic cross-section, subjected to combined torsion and bending by the moments  $M_i$ , and  $M_b$ , Fig. 3(a). We shall look for an optimal cross sectional shape within the class of elliptic shapes of specified cross-section area  $A_0$  and for the stress constraint

$$
\sigma_{\epsilon} = [\sigma_{33}^2 + 3(\sigma_{13}^2 + \sigma_{23}^2)]^{1/2} \leq \sigma_0, \tag{134}
$$

where  $\sigma_{13}$ ,  $\sigma_{23}$  and  $\sigma_{33}$  are the non-vanishing shear and normal stress components within the bar, refered to the coordinate system  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . These components are expressed as follows in terms of the bending and torsional moments

$$
\sigma_{33} = \frac{4M_b}{\pi ab^3} x_2, \quad \sigma_{13} = -\frac{2M_t}{\pi ab^3} x_2, \quad \sigma_{23} = \frac{2M_t}{\pi a^3 b} x_1.
$$
 (135)

Instead of the condition (134), we shall minimize the functional

$$
G_1 = \int \left(\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{\sigma_0}\right)^m dA = \frac{[\sigma_{33}^2 + 3(\sigma_{13}^2 + \sigma_{23}^2)]^{m/2}}{\sigma_0^m} dA \to \min. \tag{136}
$$



Fig. 3. Prismatic bar under torsion and bending; (a) Bar subjected to combined torsion and bending by the moments  $M$ , and  $M$ <sub>b</sub>, (b) Distribution of the effective stress  $\sigma$ , along the cross-sectional perimeter  $(M/M_b = 1, v = 0.3)$ .

subject to the constraint

$$
\pi a_1 a_2 = A_0 = \text{const.}\tag{137}
$$

Let us note that for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  the functional  $G_1$  represents the local effective stress defined by (134). The shape variation now depends on two parameters  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , and the stationarity condition (120) now takes the form

$$
\int (\Psi - \sigma \cdot \epsilon^a) \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0 = \lambda c \int \delta \varphi_n \, dS_0, \tag{138}
$$

where

$$
\Psi = \left(\frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_0}\right)^m,\tag{139}
$$

and the initial strains within the adjoint system are

$$
\epsilon_{33}^{i} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{33}} = \frac{m}{\sigma_{0}^{m}} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{m-2} \sigma_{33}, \quad \epsilon_{13}^{i} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{13}} = \frac{3m}{\sigma_{0}^{m}} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{m-2} \sigma_{13},
$$
\n
$$
\epsilon_{23}^{i} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{23}} = \frac{3m}{\sigma_{0}^{m}} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{m-2} \sigma_{23},
$$
\n
$$
\epsilon' = 0, \quad \epsilon^{a} = \epsilon^{i}.
$$
\n(140)

The variation of the cross-sectional shape occurs due to variation of its semiaxes *a,* and *a2•* thus

$$
\delta \varphi_n = n_k \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial a_l} \delta a_l. \tag{141}
$$

In view of (140) and (141), the stationarity condition (138) takes the form

$$
(m-1)\int \left(\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{\sigma_{0}}\right)^{m} \frac{x_{1}}{a_{1}} n_{1} dS_{0} = \lambda c \int \frac{x_{1}}{a_{1}} n_{1} dS_{0},
$$
  

$$
(m-1)\int \left(\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{\sigma_{0}}\right)^{m} \frac{x_{2}}{a_{2}} n_{2} dS_{0} = \lambda c \int \frac{x_{2}}{a_{2}} n_{2} dS_{0},
$$
  

$$
a_{1}a_{2} = \frac{A_{0}}{\pi} = \text{const.}
$$
 (142)

The optimal values of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are calculated from (142)

$$
a_2 = \sqrt{\frac{A_0}{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{A}{3} \left(\frac{M_b}{M_t}\right)^2 + 1}, \quad a_1 = \frac{A_0}{\pi a_2}.
$$
 (143)

Figure 3(b) presents the distribution of the effective stress along the cross-section perimeter (curve 1). It is seen that  $\sigma_e$  is constant for the shape specified by (143).

Consider now the mean stiffness design for which the complementary energy is minimized

$$
\Pi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) = \int W(\sigma) dA \to \min_{\mathbf{a}}.\tag{144}
$$

The optimal values of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  now are

$$
a_2 = \sqrt{\frac{A_0}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\nu} \left(\frac{M_b}{M_t}\right)^2 + 1}, \quad a_1 = \frac{A_0}{\pi a_2}.
$$
 (145)

Curve 3 in Fig. 3(b) represents the distribution of  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$  along the boundary for the design specified by (145). It is seen that  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$  does vary significantly. On the other, for a circular cross section of the same area the variation of  $\sigma$ , is quite considerable, (curve 2 in Fig. 3b).

### *Example* 2. *Design of annular disk*

Consider a circular disk of radius  $r_e$  with a central of radius  $r_i$ , loaded uniformly by pressures  $p_e$  and  $p_i$ , Fig. 4(a). Consider the mean compliance design for which both radii *r,* and *r,* are to be determined such that the complementary energy

$$
\Pi_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2E} \int_{r_i}^{r_e} (\sigma_r^2 - 2\nu\sigma_r \sigma_t + \sigma_i^2) r \, dr \qquad (146)
$$

attains a minimum subject to the condition of constant material cost

$$
C = c\pi (r_e^2 - r_i^2) = C_0,
$$
 (147)

where  $\sigma$ , and  $\sigma$ , are radial and circumferential stresses, and E, v denote the elastic constants. The optimality conditions in the case of pressure loaded boundary follows from (57) and have the form[S]

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{W} - \text{div}(\boldsymbol{p}\,\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}) = \lambda \boldsymbol{c}, \quad \boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{C}_0,\tag{148}
$$

which in our case become

$$
(\sigma_{t} + p_{i})^{2} - 2(1 - v)p_{i}^{2} = 2\lambda cE \quad \text{for } r = r_{i},
$$
  
( $\sigma_{t} + p_{e}$ )<sup>2</sup> - 2(1 - v)p<sub>e</sub><sup>2</sup> = 2\lambda cE \quad \text{for } r = r\_{e}. (149)

The stress state within the disk is expressed as follows

$$
\sigma_r = \frac{A}{r^2} + B, \quad \sigma_t = -\frac{A}{r^2} + B,\tag{150}
$$



Fig. 4. Design of annular disk; (a) Disk loaded uniformly by pressures  $p_e$  and  $p_i$ , (b) Variation of *rJr.* in function of *pJp,.*

where

$$
A = \frac{r_i^2 r_e^2}{r_e^2 - r_i^2} (p_e - p_i), \quad B = \frac{p_i r_i^2 - p_e r_e^2}{r_e^2 - r_i^2}.
$$
 (151)

Substituting (150) into (149), and solving for  $r_i$ ,  $r_r$ , we obtain

$$
r_i = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{\pi c} \frac{(3 - \nu)p_e - (1 + \nu)p_i}{p_i - p_e}}, \quad r_e = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{\pi c} \frac{(3 - \nu)p_i - (1 + \nu)p_e}{p_i - p_e}}, \quad (152)
$$

valid for

$$
1 < \frac{p_i}{p_e} < \frac{3 - v}{1 + v}.
$$
 (153)

Figure 4(b) presents the variation of  $r_i/r_e$  in function of  $p_i/p_e$ . It is seen that for  $p_i/p_e < 1$ the optimal solution corresponds to the vanishing hole, whereas for  $p_i/p_e$  varying within the range corresponding to tbe inequality (153) the disk is gradually transformed from a thin ring into a circular disk without the hole.

#### 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The present work is supplementary to Ref. [I] and provides a systematic variational approach to sensitivity analysis and optimal design of a structure with shape variations of its boundaries. It summarizes and extends previous results obtained in[I-S). The analysis is limited to linearly elastic structures for which the concept of an adjoint structure can easily be applied in order to derive the expression for first variation of any volume or surface integral.

Besides optimal design or identification problems the present approach can also be applied in study of fracture problems, metallurgical transformations, grain boundary movements or growth process of biological materials. In these cases the transformation field  $\varphi(x)$  is specified by a growth or transformation rule, relating the rate of growth to mechanical or chemical state parameters. This new and unexplored areas of structure transformation will be discussed in more detail in future papers from the point of view of sensitivity analysis and optimality conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- I. K. Oems and Z. Mroz, Variational approach by means of adjoint systems to structural optimization and sensitivity analysis-I. Variation of material parameters within fixed domain. *Int.* J. *Solids Structures 19,* 677-692 (1983).
- 2. Z. Mroz. Limit analysis of plastic structures subject to boundary variations. *Arch. Mech. Stos.* 15, 63-76 (1963).
- 3. K. Oems and Z. Mroz. Multiparameter structural shape optimization by the finite element method. *Int.* J. *Num. Meth. Engng* 13, 247-263 (1979).
- 4. K. Oems and Z. Mroz. Optimal shape design of multicomposite structures. J. *Struct. Mech.* 8, 309-329 (1980).
- *S.* K. Oems, Optimal shape design of loaded boundaries. *Arch. Mech. Stos.* 33, 243-260 (1981).
- 6. E. J. Haug, K. K. Choi, J. W. Holl and Y. M. Yoo, A variational method for shape optimal design of elastic structures. Proc. Int. Symp. on Optimum Struct. Design, 1981, Tucson Arizona, Pineridge Press (1981).
- 7. M. S. Na, N. Kikuchi and J. E. Taylor, Optimal modification of shape for two-dimensional elastic bodies. J. *Struct. Mech.* (in press).
- 8. J. D. Eshelby, Energy relations and the energy-momentum tensor in continuum mechanics. In *Inelastic Behaviour of Solids* (Edited by M. F. Kanninen et al.), pp. 77-115. McGraw-Hill, New York (1970).
- 9. J. K. Knowles and E. Sternberg, On a class of conservation laws in linearized and finite elastostatic. *Arch. Rat. Meek. Anal.* 44 (1972).
- 10. B. Budiansky and J. R. Rice, Conservation laws and energy release rates. J. *Appl. Mech.* 40, 201-206 (1973).
- II. H. D. Bui, Dual path independent integralsin the boundary-value problems ofcracks. *Engng Fracture Mech.* 6, 287-296 (1974).
- 12. R. Hill, Aspects of invarianec in solid mechanics. *Adv. Appl. Mech.* 18, 1-75 (1978).
- 13. N. W. Banitchuk, *Optimization of Forms of Elastic Bodies* (in Russian). Moscow, "Nauka" (1980).
- 14. V. A. Troicki and L. V. Petukchov, *Optimization of Forms of Elastic Bodies* (in Russian). Moscow, "Nauka" (1982).

548

#### APPENDIX A

#### Material derivatives on a surface element

In order to make our paper sensibly self-contained, we present briefly the derivation of material rates of normal and tangential tractions and forces acting on the surface element undergoing transformation. The variations used in the paper can thus be identified with material rates. A systematic discussion of material rates of surface data was recently presented by HiI1[12].

*(I) Material rate of a normal unit vector to the surface.* Consider a material surface element in motion associated with transformation

$$
f^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0. \tag{A1}
$$

Then, the material derivative of (AI) is

$$
f^0 = \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial t} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0
$$
 (A2)

where  $\dot{\varphi} = v$  denotes the transformation velocity vector on the surface, and  $f = \partial f^0/\partial x$  is the gradient of surface (A1). The material derivative of f is expressed similarly

$$
f_i = \left(\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial x_i}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 f^0}{\partial x \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 f^0}{\partial x \partial x_k} v_k.
$$
 (A3)

However, from (A2) it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial^2 f^0}{\partial x_i \partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 f^0}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} v_k + \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i} = 0.
$$
 (A4)

In view of (A3) and (A4), we have

$$
f_i = -\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i} = -f_k v_{k'i}
$$

or

$$
\dot{\mathbf{f}} = -\mathbf{L}^T \cdot \mathbf{f} \tag{A5}
$$

where  $L_{ij} = v_{i,j} = \dot{\varphi}_{i,j}$  and  $L_{ji}^T = L_{ij}$  is transpose velocity gradient matrix.<br>The unit normal vector to the surface (A1) is expressed as follows

$$
\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{f}}{(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{f})^{1/2}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}}{f}
$$
 (A6)

and in view of (AS) its rate equals

$$
\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{f} \mathbf{f} - \frac{f}{f2} \mathbf{f} = -\mathbf{L}^T \cdot \mathbf{n} + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L}^T \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}
$$
 (A7)

and finally

$$
\dot{\mathbf{n}} = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{L}^T \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + \omega \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}
$$
 (A8)

where

$$
2D = L + L^T, \quad 2\omega = L - L^T
$$
 (A9)

are the associated strain and rotation rates.

Equation (AS) can be written in an alternative form

$$
\dot{n}_i = (n_i - \delta_{ij}) n_k v_{k+l} \tag{A10}
$$

*(2) Material rate of <sup>a</sup> tangential unit vector on the surface.* Consider now any curve *r* lying on the surface (AI), given in the form

$$
\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{A11}
$$

where  $\tau$  is a parameter specifying  $\Gamma$ . The vector tangential to this curve can be expressed as follows

$$
x_{tt} = \frac{dx}{dt}
$$
 (A12)

and its rate equals

 $\dot{X}_{\rho\gamma} = v_{\rho\gamma} X_{\rho\gamma}$ 

or

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{n} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n} \tag{A13}
$$

where, as previously,  $L_{ij} = v_{ij} = \dot{\varphi}_{ij}$ .<br>The unit tangential vector to the curve (A11) is now expressed as follows

$$
t = \frac{x_{1t}}{(x_{1t} \cdot x_{1t})^{1/2}} = \frac{x_{1t}}{x_{1t}}
$$
 (A14)

and in vicw of (A 13), its rate equals

$$
\dot{\mathbf{t}} = \frac{1}{x_{\rm tr}} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\rm tr} - \frac{\dot{x}_{\rm tr}}{x_{\rm tr}^2} \mathbf{x}_{\rm tr} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t} - (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}.
$$
 (A15)

Thus, finally we can write

$$
\dot{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t} - (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t})\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{t} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{t})
$$
 (A16)

where  $D$  and  $\omega$  are defined by eqn (A9). The alternative form of (A16) is

$$
t_i = (\delta_{ik} - t_i t_k) v_{k,j} t_j \tag{A17}
$$

Since eqn  $(A11)$  describes any curve lying on the surface  $(A1)$ , then relation  $(A16)$  or  $(A17)$  defines the material rate of any unit vector t being tangential to the surface (AI).

*(3) Malerial role o/Ihe SlIT/ace elemenl area.* For any material line element dx on the surface *S* (AI) there is

$$
\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{dx} \quad \text{or} \quad d\mathbf{x}_i = L_i d\mathbf{x}_j \tag{A18}
$$

Consider two infinitesimal material elements  $dx^1$  and  $dx^2$ . The vector of surface element area is then, see Fig. Al

$$
dS = dx1 x dx2 = n dS \text{ or } dSi = eijk dxj1 dxk2
$$
 (A19)

where  $\chi$  denotes the vector product and n is the unit normal vector to  $S$ ;  $dS$  is the area of surface element and  $e_{ijk}$  denotes the permutation symbol.

Equation  $(A19)$  can be now expressed as follows

$$
dS_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} (dx_j^{\dagger} dx_k^2 - dx_k^{\dagger} dx_j^2)
$$
 (A20)

and in view of (A18) its material rate is

$$
dS_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} L_{jm} (dx_m^{-1} dx_k^2 - dx_k^{-1} dx_m^{-2}) + \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} L_{km} (dx_j^{-1} dx_m^2 - dx_m^{-1} dx_j^{-2}).
$$
 (A21)

Multiplying now eqn  $(A13)$  be  $e_{mni}$  it is obtained

$$
e_{\text{max}} dS_i = dx_{\text{max}}^{-1} dx_{\text{max}}^{-2} dx_{\text{max}}^{-2}.
$$
 (A22)

Using this relation in  $(A21)$ , the material rate of surface element vector can be expressed as follows

$$
\dot{d}S_i = L_{kk} dS_i - L_{jk} dS_j
$$

or

$$
\mathbf{dS} = (\text{div }\mathbf{v})\mathbf{dS} - \mathbf{L}^T \cdot \mathbf{dS} \tag{A23}
$$

that can be written alternatively as

$$
\dot{d}S_i = (n\mu_{k+k} - n\mu_{pi})dS \tag{A24}
$$

Calculating now the material derivative of the first equality of (AI9), we can write

$$
\mathbf{dS} = dS \mathbf{n} + dS \mathbf{\hat{n}} \tag{A25}
$$

in view of (A8), (AI9) and (A23), we obtain

$$
(\text{div }\mathbf{v})\,dS\,\mathbf{n} = d\mathbf{S}\,\mathbf{n} + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n})\,dS\,\mathbf{n}.\tag{A26}
$$

Thus, the material rate of element area equals

$$
d\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{div}\,\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,d\mathbf{S} \tag{A27}
$$

or alternatively

$$
dS = (v_{k+k} - n\rho_{\rho_l}) dS. \tag{A28}
$$

(4) *Material rate of surface tractions*. Consider the surface traction being permanently normal to the loaded surface, for which intensity factor equals p. The normal stress vector at any point P of boundary surface can be expressed as follows

$$
\sigma_n = p \; \mathbf{n} \tag{A29}
$$

and the normal force vector acting on surface element *dS* takes the form

$$
\mathbf{R}_n = \sigma_n \, dS = p \, \mathbf{n} \, dS = p \, \mathbf{d}S. \tag{A30}
$$

To calculate the material rate of stress vector  $\sigma_n$ , in view of (A29), we can write

$$
\dot{\sigma_n} = \dot{p} \mathbf{n} + p \mathbf{\hat{n}}.\tag{A31}
$$

Using now (A8) and (A29) in (A31), the material rate of normal stress vector  $\sigma_n$  is expressed in the form

$$
\dot{\sigma}_n = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \sigma_n - \mathbf{L}^T \cdot \sigma_n + \frac{p}{\rho} \sigma_n
$$
 (A32)

or, in view of (A9). as

$$
\dot{\sigma}_n = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \sigma_n + \omega \cdot \sigma_n - \mathbf{D} \cdot \sigma_n + \frac{\dot{p}}{p} \sigma_n.
$$
 (A33)

Similarly, it follows from (A30)

$$
\dot{\mathbf{R}}_n = \dot{p} \, \mathbf{dS} + p \, \mathbf{dS} \tag{A34}
$$

and by using (A23), the material rate of normal force vector  $R<sub>n</sub>$  is expressed in the form

$$
\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{n} = (\text{div } \mathbf{v})\mathbf{R}_{n} - \mathbf{L}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n} + \frac{\dot{p}}{\rho} \mathbf{R}_{n}.
$$
 (A35)

In a Cartesian reference system, the relations (A33) and (A35) can be expressed in a matrix form

$$
\{\dot{\sigma}_{n_i}\} = [a_{ij}(v_k, j, n_p)] \{\sigma_{n_j}\} + \alpha \{\sigma_{n_i}\}\tag{A36}
$$

and

$$
\{\dot{R}_{n_i}\} = [A_{ij}(v_{k},j)] \{R_{n_j}\} + \alpha \{R_{n_i}\}.
$$
 (A37)

A quite different kind of loading occurs in the case of tangential follower force. Here, the local traction is tangential to an embedded fibre element of the surface. In this case, the tangential stress vector at any point *P* of the loaded surface can be expressed in the fonn

$$
\sigma_i = q \tag{A38}
$$

where t denotes unit tangential vector on S and  $q$  is intensity factor of tangential force. In view of (A16), the material rate of (A38) is expressed as follows

$$
\dot{\sigma}_i = \mathbf{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i - (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i + \frac{\dot{q}}{q} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i
$$
 (A39)

or. in view of (A9), it takes the fonn

$$
\dot{\sigma}_i = \mathbf{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i - (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i + \frac{\dot{q}}{q} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i.
$$
 (A40)

The tangential force vector is defined by

$$
\mathbf{R}_i = q \mathbf{t} \, dS = \sigma_i dS \tag{A41}
$$

and in view of (AI6) and (A27). its material rate equals

$$
\dot{\mathbf{R}}_t = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{R}_t - (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{R}_t + (\text{div } \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{R}_t + \frac{\dot{q}}{q} \mathbf{R}_t.
$$
 (A42)

In the Cartesian system  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , these rates are expressed in the matrix form

$$
\{\dot{\sigma}_{i_i}\} = [b_{i}(v_k, j, l_p)]\{\sigma_{i_j}\} + \beta\{\sigma_{i_i}\}\tag{A43}
$$

and

$$
\{\dot{R}_{i_i}\} = [B_{ij}(v_k, j, t_p; n_r)]\{R_{i_j}\} + \beta\{R_{i_i}\}.
$$
 (A44)

Let us note, that for both of considered loadings their material rates are the sum of two parts-the first part being the controllable part independent of material response and the second one being the transformationsensitive part depending linearly on the velocity gradient.

#### APPENDIX 8

# Proof of the conservation rule (112) for an arbitrary stress functional

Consider the integral (112). that is

$$
Z_{k} = \int \Psi(\sigma_{mn})\delta_{kj} + \sigma_{ij}^{*}u_{pk} - \sigma_{ij}^{*}u_{i}^{*}\eta_{i}dS
$$
 (B1)

specified on any closed surface S within the *homogeneous* body. Here  $\Psi(\sigma_{mn})$  is an arbitrary scalar stress function<br>possessing uniquely defined gradient  $\partial \Psi/\partial \sigma_{mn}$ . The adjoint body is specified by (76)–(79) with  $g$ The stress field within the adjoint body is  $\sigma'_{ij}$  whereas  $u''_i$  is the displacement field. In view of (77) and (78) the initial strain field within the adjoint body is

$$
\epsilon_{ij}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}}, \quad \epsilon_{ij}^e = \epsilon_{ij}^r + \epsilon_{ij}^i
$$
 (B2)

and  $\sigma_{\mu}^{r}$  is the residual stress field induced by the initial strain field  $\epsilon_{\mu}^{r}$ .

Transform the surface integral (81) into the volume integral  $\overline{a}$ 

$$
Z_{k} = \int [\Psi_{ik} + (\sigma'_{ij}u_{nk})_{ij} - (\sigma_{ij,k}u_{i}^{a})_{ij}] dV_{s}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int \left[ \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \sigma_{ipk} + \sigma'_{ipj} \mu_{pk} + \sigma'_{ij} u_{pkj} - (\sigma_{ipj})_{ik} u_{i}^{a} - \sigma_{ipk} u_{i}^{a} \right] dV_{s}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int \left[ \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \sigma_{ipk} + \sigma'_{i} \mathcal{L}_{ipk} - \sigma_{ipk} \epsilon_{ij}^{a} \right] dV_{s}
$$
 (B3)

where  $V<sub>s</sub>$  is the volume of the domain enclosed by the surface S. In (B3) the second and the fourth terms vanish by virtue of equilibrium conditions for stress fields  $\sigma_y$  and  $\sigma'_y$ , that is  $\sigma_{y} = \sigma'_y = 0$ . in view of (B2), the expression (83) is further retransformed as follows

$$
Z_k = \int [\epsilon^i_{\ j}\sigma_{\psi k} + \sigma^i_{\ \epsilon^i\psi k} - \epsilon^i_{\ j}\sigma_{\psi k} - \epsilon^i_{\ j}\sigma_{\psi k}] dV_i = \int [\sigma^i_{\ \epsilon^i\psi k} - \epsilon^i_{\ j}\sigma_{\psi k}] dV_i.
$$
 (B4)

For a homogeneous body. there is

$$
\sigma'_{\psi}\epsilon_{ij\star k} = D_{ijmn}\epsilon'_{mn}\epsilon_{ij\star k}
$$
  
\n
$$
\sigma_{ij\star k}\epsilon'_{ij} = (D_{ijmn}\epsilon_{mn})_{,\kappa}\epsilon'_{ij} = D_{ijmn}\epsilon_{mn\star k}\epsilon'_{ij} = D_{ijmn}\epsilon'_{mn}\epsilon_{ij\star k}
$$
 (B5)

since the stiffness matrix does not vary with position  $D_{ijmn+k} = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma'_i C_{ijk} - C'_i \sigma_{jnk} = 0$ , and

$$
Z_k = 0 \tag{B6}
$$

for any closed surface  $S$  within the body considered.

Consider the stress functional

$$
G_1 = \int \Psi(\sigma_{\psi}) dV. \tag{B7}
$$

Since  $\delta G_1 = Z_k \delta a_k$  for the translation of any closed surface, the stress functional  $G_1$  preserves constant value during translation of the body.